Misconceptions About Direct Testing of Dimensional Flow

E. Goldfain

Global Institute for Research, Education and Scholarship (GIRES)

ervingoldfain@gmail.com

September 8, 2026

Abstract. Dimensional flow — the hypothesis that the effective spacetime dimension D is a scale-dependent quantity $D(\ell)$ approaching 4 in the infrared — interprets the parameter $\varepsilon = 4 - D$ of dimensional regularization as a physically meaningful quantity rather than a computational device. We summarize the formal structure of this proposal, its extension to a multifractal spectrum of generalized dimensions $D_q(\ell)$, and the independent theoretical motivations (onset of Hamiltonian chaos, Wilson–Fisher-type attractors, Higgs-sector triviality) that support treating $\varepsilon \neq 0$ as physical rather than artefactual. We close by identifying open questions of observational cosmology and particle physics where indirect, cumulative signatures might instead be sought.

1 Dimensional flow and the deviation parameter

Dimensional flow treats the effective dimension of spacetime not as a fixed background parameter but as a scale-dependent quantity,

$$D = D(\ell), \tag{1}$$

where ℓ denotes the coarse-graining (probing) scale. Consistency with general relativity and standard quantum field theory at accessible scales requires the infrared limit

$$D(\ell) \;\longrightarrow\; 4 \qquad \text{as} \qquad \ell \to \infty, \tag{2}$$

with departures $D(\ell) \neq 4$ enabled only at ultrashort distances. It is convenient to track the deviation directly,

$$\varepsilon(\ell) \equiv 4 - D(\ell), \tag{3}$$

so that $\varepsilon \to 0$ recovers ordinary four-dimensional physics as the large-scale (infrared) fixed point of the dimensional flow.

This is formally the same parameter that appears in *dimensional regularization*, where $D = 4 - \varepsilon$ is used to compute finite loop integrals before taking $\varepsilon \to 0$ and discarding ε as a bookkeeping artefact. The proposal under discussion reinterprets ε as carrying independent physical content — a scale-dependent measure of departure from four-dimensionality, rather than a regulator to be removed at the end of calculations.

2 Renormalization Group framing

The term *flow* is used in the strict Renormalization Group (RG) sense. Defining the logarithmic scale variable

$$t = \ln\left(\frac{\ell}{\ell_0}\right), \tag{4}$$

the dimension (or, equivalently, ε) is governed by a beta function,

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = \beta_D(D,\ldots), \qquad \text{equivalently} \qquad \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \beta_\varepsilon(\varepsilon,\ldots), \tag{5}$$

connecting an ultraviolet (UV) regime ($D \neq 4$, small ℓ) to an infrared (IR) fixed point ($D \to 4$, large ℓ). The essential departure from standard RG treatment is that here the object undergoing Renormalization Group flow is the dimension of spacetime itself, rather than a coupling constant defined *on* a fixed-dimensional background.

3 Multifractal generalization

A single running $D(\ell)$ is a simplification. The conjecture is more naturally formulated in terms of a multifractal geometry, characterized by a family of generalized (Rényi-type) dimensions,

$$D_q = D_q(\ell), \qquad q \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{6}$$

where q weights the statistical contribution of rare versus typical regions of the underlying structure. This spectrum, rather than a single scalar, is what describes dimensional flow in the ultraviolet regime relevant to high-energy physics and to primordial cosmology. Rényi dimensions are components of a set of several non-monotonic dimensions running with the observation scale in an unknown fashion [2].

3.1 Independent theoretical motivation

The conjecture that $\varepsilon \neq 0$ draws support from three otherwise unrelated results: (i) the onset of chaotic behavior in extended nonlinear Hamiltonian systems, which implies transition to fractal spacetime; (ii) the existence of Wilson–Fisher fixed points in statistical field theory, which are only defined away from exactly four dimensions; and (iii) the triviality problem of a Higgs-like scalar sector in exactly four dimensions at arbitrarily high energy, which a scale-dependent dimension automatically solves.

4 Constraints on direct experimental testing

Direct tests of dimensional flow are manifestly more challenging and unrealistic than is sometimes assumed in conventional treatments. Four points bear directly on this [1].

Caveat	**Statement**
Circularity	Simplified tests of dimensional flow or fractal spacetime are prone to circular reasoning, since the assumptions used to construct the test frequently presuppose its outcome.
Energy regime	The conjectured onset lies *above* the electroweak scale ($v \approx 246$ GeV), a regime inaccessible to current cosmological probes (CMB, high-redshift surveys, compact-object mergers) and reachable only by a collider well beyond the LHC's ~ 13.6 TeV.
Underdetermined structure	The evolving dimensions correspond to a set of ~ 5 dimensional parameters varying non-monotonically with scale; no single benchmark quantity is presently available against which to test the conjecture.
Existing bounds	CMB blackbody-spectrum data already constrain deviations from $D = 3$ spatial dimensions to $\lesssim 10^{-5}$, far below the 10^{-2}–10^{-3} deviation that would constitute a meaningful signal; no responsible claim of detection at that scale is currently reliable.

Table 1: Constraints governing direct experimental tests of dimensional flow.

Taken together, these constraints support a conservative reading: the absence of an observed deviation is not evidence against the conjecture, since no technology currently operates in the regime where a deviation is predicted, nor is any existing claim of a positive detection currently defensible.

5 Indirect signatures

Given the inaccessibility of direct tests, cumulative footprints of an evolving fractal spacetime structure are the more plausible near-term target. More realistic avenues, treated here as an open research program rather than established evidence, include: the flavor structure of the Standard Model; Cantor Dust composition of Dark Matter; flat galactic rotation curves; the cusp–core discrepancy in Dark Matter density profiles; the baryonic Tully–Fisher relation; formation of the cosmic web; and the σ_8 tension between direct large-scale-structure measurements and predictions based on the cosmic microwave background (CMB) spectrum [3].

6 Conclusion

Dimensional flow is a formally coherent extension of dimensional regularization, supported by several theoretical motivations from chaos theory, critical phenomena, and Higgs-sector triviality. Its predicted departures from $D = 4$ are confined to an energy regime beyond current experimental reach, and the deviations enabled by existing CMB constraints are orders of magnitude tighter that would be measured from a meaningful test. Direct falsification or confirmation is therefore not presently available; progress in the near term is more likely to come from cumulative, indirect consistency checks against open questions of observational cosmology and particle physics.

References

[1] E. Goldfain, *Limitations of Testing Alternative Cosmologies*, academia.edu/171403460.

[2] E. Goldfain, *Emergence of Evolving Dimensions in Field Theory and Cosmology*, academia.edu/165660900.

[3] CMB blackbody spectrum constraints on spatial dimensionality, arXiv:0806.2675.