Can the Standard Model be Built from Spatiotemporal Chaos?

Ervin Goldfain[1]

[1]GIRES, USA ervingoldfain@gmail.com, [2pt] *Manuscript prepared June 2026. This paper synthesises results of Beck [1] and the author's prior work [2].*

Abstract

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics contains between nineteen and twenty-six free parameters whose numerical values are not explained by the theory itself. Two independent research programs—Beck's chaotic strings [1] and our Large Deviation Theory (LDT) framework [2]—each claim to reproduce a substantial fraction of these parameters from an underlying principle of dynamical minimization. This paper argues that these two frameworks are not competing alternatives but *complementary levels of description* of the same physical phenomena. Beck's coupled map lattice provides a concrete microscopic model whose invariant measure is, by construction, multifractal; our LDT machinery is precisely the mathematical formalism for extracting parameter predictions from such a measure. We identify the structural correspondences between the two approaches, propose that Beck's Tchebyscheff maps are a specific realization of our multifractal attractor. We outline a research program whose central task is computing the multifractal spectrum of the chaotic strings and verifying that the resulting rate function reproduces the empirical data on SM masses and couplings. If this identification holds, the combined framework would constitute the most mathematically grounded and physically motivated theory of SM parameters yet proposed.

Keywords: Standard Model parameters; chaotic strings; coupled map lattices; Tchebyscheff maps; large deviation theory; multifractal attractor; Rényi entropy; rate function; Feigenbaum universality; vacuum energy; stochastic quantization.

Contents

1 Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) of electroweak and strong interactions is among the most precisely tested theories in the history of science, yet it contains a fundamental explanatory lacuna. Depending on the neutrino-sector treatment, between nineteen and twenty-six dimensionless or dimensionful parameters—gauge coupling constants, fermion masses, CKM mixing angles, the CP-violating phase, Higgs-sector parameters, and the QCD vacuum angle—must be inserted by hand after experimental measurement. No dynamical principle within the SM itself selects these values; they are, in the language of the theory, simply *free parameters*.

This is not a problem of empirical adequacy. The SM predicts experimental results with extraordinary accuracy once these parameters are fixed. It is a problem of theoretical completeness. Any deeper theory that aspires to explain the SM must account for why nature chose these particular numbers and not others. Historically, two broad strategies have been pursued. The first seeks a larger symmetry—grand unified theories, supersymmetry, string compactification—that reduces the number of independent inputs by imposing relations among them. The second, less-traveled route treats the parameters as outputs of an underlying dynamical process that selects preferred configurations through a stability or minimization principle.

It is this second route that concerns us here. Two programs—developed independently and published decades apart—have pursued it with notable success and, we argue, are more deeply related than either has recognized. The first is the *chaotic string* formalism of Christian Beck [1], developed over the period 1992–2002, demonstrating that the vacuum energy of 1+1-dimensional coupled map lattices is minimized at coupling constants that numerically coincide with running SM parameters to three to five significant figures. The second is the *Large Deviation Theory* framework developed by the present author [2], in 2025–2026, which derives SM masses and mixing parameters from the geometry of a rate function $I(\alpha)$ associated with the multifractal attractor of the entropy flow near the electroweak scale.

Both programs operate outside the mainstream of high-energy physics, and both have faced the skepticism that heterodox approaches routinely encounter. Whether or not either framework survives as a complete theory, the convergence of their mathematical structures and physical conclusions is striking enough to warrant careful examination. This paper undertakes that examination.

The argument proceeds as follows. Section 2 reviews the Beck framework and its key results. Section 3 reviews our LDT framework and its key results. Section 4 establishes the structural correspondences between the two approaches. Section 5 develops the central thesis: that Beck's chaotic strings instantiate the microscopic realization of our abstract multifractal attractor, making the two frameworks complementary levels of the same physical description. Section 6 discusses the combined framework's implications, open problems, and the research program it motivates. Section 7 concludes.

2 Beck's Chaotic Strings

2.1 Coupled Map Lattices and Tchebyscheff Dynamics

Beck's starting point is a 1+1-dimensional coupled map lattice (CML) [3]—a class of dynamical system with discrete space and time but a continuous state variable. At each lattice site i, the field variable $\Phi_n^i \in [-1, 1]$ evolves according to

$$\Phi_{n+1}^i = (1-a)\, T_N(\Phi_n^i) + s\, \frac{a}{2} \left[T_N^b(\Phi_n^{i-1}) + T_N^b(\Phi_n^{i+1}) \right], \tag{1}$$

where T_N is the N-th order Tchebyscheff polynomial ($T_2(\Phi) = 2\Phi^2 - 1,\ T_3(\Phi) = 4\Phi^3 - 3\Phi$), $a \in [0, 1]$ is a spatial coupling constant, $s = \pm 1$ distinguishes diffusive and anti-diffusive coupling, and $b \in \{0, 1\}$ distinguishes forward and backward coupling. The choices of (N, b, s) yield exactly *six* inequivalent theories: $2A$, $2B$, $2A^-$, $2B^-$, $3A$, $3B$.

The dynamics is strongly chaotic for $N \geq 2$. The uncoupled maps ($a = 0$) are conjugate to Bernoulli shifts and possess the minimum higher-order correlations of any system in this conjugacy class [4], making them the closest deterministic analog of Gaussian white noise. For small a the coupled variables remain sufficiently close to this limit that they generate Gaussian white noise on coarse scales, enabling their use in the Parisi–Wu scheme of stochastic quantization [5].

2.2 Vacuum Energies and Their Minima

Two types of vacuum energy are associated with each chaotic string theory. The *self energy* is the expectation value of the self-interaction potential:

$$V^{(2)}(a) = -\tfrac{2}{3}\langle\Phi^3\rangle + \langle\Phi\rangle, \tag{2}$$
$$V^{(3)}(a) = -\langle\Phi^4\rangle + \tfrac{3}{2}\langle\Phi^2\rangle, \tag{3}$$

and the *interaction energy* is the nearest-neighbor correlator:

$$W(a) = \tfrac{1}{2}\langle\Phi^i\,\Phi^{i+1}\rangle. \tag{4}$$

These expectation values depend nontrivially on the coupling a through the coupled invariant measure, evaluated numerically by averaging over all lattice sites and time steps from random initial conditions.

The central empirical finding of the Beck program is that the stable zeros of $W(a)$ and the local minima of $V(a)$ coincide, to three to five significant figures, with running SM coupling constants $\alpha(E)$, evaluated at energies E determined by the masses of the particles involved in a Feynman-web interpretation of the lattice dynamics. Table 1 reproduces the most important zeros.

Table 1: Smallest stable zeros of the interaction energy $W(a)$ for each chaotic string and comparison with measured SM couplings. Adapted from Ref. [1].

String	Stable zero	Running SM coupling
3A	$a_2^{(3A)} = 0.0073038(17)$	$\alpha_{\mathrm{el}}^{e}(3m_e) = 0.007303$
3B	$a_2^{(3B)} = 0.017550(1)$	$\alpha_{\mathrm{weak}}^{\nu}(3m_{\nu_e}) + \alpha_{\mathrm{el}}^{e}(3m_e) = 0.01755$
2A	$a_1^{(2A)} = 0.120093(3)$	$\alpha_s(m_W + 2m_d) = 0.1208(20)$

2.3 Extent and Precision of Agreement

Over more than thirty zeros and minima identified with known SM interactions and gravitational couplings, and over twenty minima matched to hadronic states, the agreement is at the level of three to five significant digits. Beck argues that the joint probability of this agreement arising from chance is of order 10^{-60}, effectively ruling out statistical accident [1].

The framework also yields predictions: a Higgs mass of 154.4 GeV (subsequently falsified by the 2012 LHC discovery at 125.25 GeV, an unresolved tension discussed further in Section 5.1), neutrino mass eigenstates at approximately 1.45×10^{-5} eV, 2.57×10^{-3} eV, and 4.92×10^{-2} eV, and a GUT scale of 1.73×10^{16} GeV.

3 Our Large Deviation Theory Framework

3.1 Physical Premise

Our framework begins from the observation that phenomena near and above the electroweak scale occur in a highly non-equilibrium environment characterized by large fluctuations and non-Gaussian probability distributions. As the system relaxes toward the infrared fixed point at the electroweak scale, it traces out a *multifractal attractor*—a set on which the local measure scales as $\mu_i(\ell) \sim \ell^{\alpha_i}$ with a *spectrum* of Hölder exponents rather than a single scaling dimension.

The mathematical objects encoding this structure are the Rényi entropy of order q,

$$S_q = \frac{1}{1-q} \ln\left(\sum_i p_i^q\right), \quad q \neq 1, \tag{5}$$

the generalized dimensions $D_q = \lim_{\ell\to 0} S_q(\ell)/\ln(1/\ell)$, the multifractal singularity spectrum $f(\alpha)$ via the Legendre relations $\tau(q) = (q-1)D_q$, $\alpha_q = d\tau/dq$, $f(\alpha) = q\alpha - \tau(q)$, and finally the rate function

$$I(\alpha) = \alpha - f(\alpha). \tag{6}$$

Convexity of $I(\alpha)$—guaranteed by the concavity of $f(\alpha)$, which itself follows from entropy maximization—ensures that isolated minima are exponentially stable preferred configurations.

3.2 Mass Identification Rule and Closure Constraint

The *mass identification rule*,

$$m(\alpha) = v\, e^{-I(\alpha)}, \tag{7}$$

where $v = 246.22\,\text{GeV}$ is the Higgs vacuum expectation value, is our central physical postulate. It follows from demanding consistency with large-deviation exponential suppression, RG stability, dimensional consistency, and the absence of additional mass scales. Each fermion mass eigenstate corresponds to an isolated minimum of $I(\alpha)$.

At Rényi index $q = 1/2$, entropy saturation yields the *sum-of-squares closure constraint*:

$$\sum_i m_i^2 = v^2, \tag{8}$$

which ensures that all massive excitations collectively exhaust the Higgs VEV and defines an IR-stable fixed point.

3.3 Fermion Masses from Feigenbaum Scaling

The mass identification rule shares the formal structure of Feigenbaum scaling: $m_n \propto A\cdot\delta^{-n}$. Fitting the ratios of m_Z-scale quark and lepton masses yields two sector-specific Feigenbaum-type constants,

$$\delta_p = 4.93 \text{ (quarks)}, \qquad \delta_\ell = 3.79 \text{ (leptons)}, \tag{9}$$

both close to the universal Feigenbaum constant $\delta_F = 4.6692\ldots$ for quadratic maps. Our combined framework reproduces all fermion mass ratios at the Z-boson scale with a combined error of 0.25% [2].

3.4 Electroweak Boson Masses and CKM Mixing

The electroweak boson mass spectrum follows from a four-equation bifurcation system involving the sum-of-squares constraint, a period-doubling balance condition, an RG fixed-point condition $v = 2m_H$, and the experimental input $v = 246\,\text{GeV}$. After applying

Peskin–Takeuchi oblique radiative corrections and the top-Yukawa Higgs self-energy correction, all four electroweak observables (m_H, m_W, m_Z, v) agree with experiment to better than 0.1% [2]. the rate-function landscape. The Gatto–Sartori–Tonin relation $\sin\theta_{12} = \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$ is reproduced at 0.8% accuracy; a Georgi–Jarlskog factor of 3 from three-generation bifurcation multiplicity gives θ_{23} at 9.6% accuracy; and a geometric Berry-phase argument yields $\delta_{\mathrm{CP}} \approx \pi/3$ at 8.3% accuracy.

4 Structural Correspondences

We now identify the mathematical and physical correspondences between the two frameworks. These are not superficial analogies but deep structural parallels arising from the fact that both describe the same class of dynamical systems—multifractal/chaotic attractors—from different perspectives.

4.1 Shared Minimization Principle

The most immediate parallel is the shared foundational principle: SM parameters are selected by the minimization of a functional encoding the vacuum energy of an underlying dynamical system.

In Beck's framework, the relevant functionals are $V(a)$ and $W(a)$, functions of the string coupling a. The physically realized values of a are those that minimize $V(a)$ or set $W(a) = 0$, under the evolution equations

$$\dot{a} = \mathrm{const} \cdot W(a) + \mathrm{noise}, \qquad \dot{a} = -\mathrm{const} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial a} + \mathrm{noise}. \tag{10}$$

In our framework, the relevant functional is the rate function $I(\alpha)$, whose isolated convex minima correspond to SM masses via the mass identification rule (7). The exponential suppression $P(\alpha) \sim e^{-I(\alpha)}$ ensures that only configurations at or near these minima have appreciable probability.

Both principles can be stated as: *out of the space of possible coupling constants and masses, nature selects those values that minimize the vacuum energy / maximize the statistical weight of the underlying chaotic dynamical system.*

4.2 Tchebyscheff Maps Generate Multifractal Measures

Tchebyscheff maps are among the best-studied examples of interval maps with explicitly computable invariant measures. For the uncoupled case $a = 0$, the invariant density of T_N is the arcsine distribution,

$$\rho(\phi) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-\phi^2}}, \tag{11}$$

which is itself a special case of the generalized canonical distribution in Tsallis non-extensive statistical mechanics with index $q = -1$ or $q = 3$ [6]. When spatial coupling a is introduced, the invariant measure of the CML departs from the simple arcsine form and acquires a *multifractal structure*. The generalized dimensions $D_q(a)$ of this measure are nontrivial functions of a, N, b, and s—precisely the objects from which our singularity spectrum $f(\alpha)$ and rate function $I(\alpha)$ are constructed via the Legendre transform chain. In other words, Beck's coupled map lattice *naturally generates* the mathematical structure that our LDT framework requires as input.

4.3 The Feigenbaum Connection

Our Feigenbaum-type scaling constants δ_p and δ_ℓ arise from the universal geometry of the period-doubling route to chaos. The Tchebyscheff polynomial $T_2(\Phi) = 2\Phi^2 - 1$ is, up to a conjugacy, the logistic map at the Misiurewicz point—precisely the map whose iterated period-doubling cascade defines the Feigenbaum universality class [7]. The invariant Cantor set at the accumulation of period doublings for the logistic map is a multifractal with a well-characterized singularity spectrum, and T_2 sits at a special point in this hierarchy.

This means our empirical observation that $\delta_p \approx 4.93 \approx \delta_F$ is not a coincidence introduced by hand. It is a consequence of the fact that the dynamical system generating SM parameter structure belongs to the *universality class* of quadratic maps—the same class as Beck's T_2 string.

4.4 Parallel Sector Decomposition

Both frameworks decompose the SM parameter space into sectors governed by distinct dynamical structures, as summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Parallel sector decompositions in the Beck and LDT frameworks.

SM sector	**Beck (string type)**	**LDT (this work)**
Electroweak couplings	$3A$, $3B$ strings; zeros of $W(a)$	Saddle overlap at smallest fermionic scales
Strong coupling	$2A$ string; zeros of $W(a)$	Rate-function minimum at m_W scale
Fermion masses	$2A/2B$ self-energy minima	$\delta_p = 4.93$, $\delta_\ell = 3.79$ cascades
CKM mixing	Forward vs. backward coupling differences	Adjacent saddle overlap; GST relation
Hadronic spectrum	Total energy $H_\pm(a)$ minima	Not yet addressed

4.5 Evolution Equations as Gradient Flows on $I(\alpha)$

Beck's evolution equations (10) are renormalization-group-like flows that drive arbitrary initial couplings toward the stable zeros of W and minima of V. In the language of LDT, these are precisely flows toward the entropy saddles encoded in the rate function $I(\alpha)$. The stable stationary points are exactly the minima of I, since a minimum of $V(a)$ at coupling a^* corresponds, via the mass identification rule, to a configuration that maximizes the statistical weight $P(\alpha) \sim e^{-I(\alpha)}$—i.e., minimizes $I(\alpha)$. The Gaussian noise term in Eq. (10) corresponds to the fluctuations around the saddle that LDT characterizes by the curvature $I''(\alpha)$ of the rate function.

4.6 Compatible Cosmological Embeddings

Both frameworks share a cosmological interpretation. Beck argues that chaotic strings determined SM parameters in a pre-Planck epoch of the universe—the strings are described as a kind of "DNA of the universe," encoding parameters before matter or radiation became relevant. We similarly situate the multifractal attractor in the far-from-equilibrium environment above the electroweak scale, with SM parameters emerging as the infrared fixed-point structure of entropy flow during cosmological cooling. These descriptions are physically compatible, and one can argue that our entropy flow describes the statistical mechanics of Beck's chaotic string dynamics at the scale of the entire parameter-setting epoch.

5 The Central Thesis: Two Levels of the Same Description

We now state the central claim of this paper.

Claim. Beck's six chaotic string theories are microscopic realizations of the multifractal attractor whose properties our LDT framework uses to derive SM parameters. The two frameworks are related as a statistical-mechanics model is related to thermodynamics: one provides the microscopic dynamics, the other the macroscopic principle.

More precisely, the proposed equivalence rests on four steps:

1. The invariant measures of Beck's coupled map lattices, as functions of the coupling a, are multifractal measures with generalized dimensions $D_q(a)$ depending on N, b, s, and a.
2. From $D_q(a)$ one can construct, via the Legendre transform chain $D_q \to \tau(q) \to f(\alpha) \to I(\alpha)$, a family of rate functions $I_a(\alpha)$ parameterized by the string coupling.
3. The minima of $I_a(\alpha)$ define preferred values of α. Via the mass identification rule (7), these correspond to preferred mass values.
4. The condition that a specific coupling a^* is a stable zero of W or a minimum of V—Beck's selection criterion—is equivalent to the condition that the rate function $I_{a^*}(\alpha)$ has a minimum at the α value corresponding to the relevant SM parameter.

If these four steps can be carried through explicitly, the two frameworks are unified: Beck's numerical evidence for coincidence of vacuum-energy extrema with SM parameters acquires the analytical interpretation that LDT provides, and our abstract rate function is grounded in an explicit dynamical model.

5.1 The Higgs Mass Tension

Beck's specific model predicts $m_H = 154.4\,\text{GeV}$, falsified by experiment at $125.25\,\text{GeV}$. This is a genuine failure of the specific $2B^-$ string interpretation, and we do not minimize it. However, two observations are relevant. First, our LDT framework, being more abstract and not committed to a specific microscopic model, correctly reproduces $m_H \approx 125\,\text{GeV}$ via the RG fixed-point condition $v = 2m_H$ combined with top-Yukawa radiative corrections. In the synthesis we propose, the correct Higgs mass would emerge from the LDT level of description, with the microscopic model providing the dynamical underpinning rather than the direct numerical prediction. Second, the broader pattern of coincidences—over thirty identified zeros and minima across all six string theories—remains statistically robust even if one particular identification is revised.

5.2 Complementarity in Practice

The synthesis can be thought of operationally as follows. Our LDT framework provides the correct macroscopic principle and achieves high accuracy by fitting the LDT rate-function geometry to m_Z-scale masses. But it does not explain *why* the rate function has the shape it does, or what microscopic dynamics generates a multifractal attractor with precisely these generalized dimensions. Beck's framework provides the microscopic dynamics—Tchebyscheff coupled map lattices—and demonstrates numerically that the vacuum energy of this specific dynamics is minimized at SM parameters. But it lacks the analytical machinery to extract the full multifractal geometry and connect it to the LDT formalism.

Together they provide what neither provides alone: a microscopic dynamical model (Beck) whose statistical-mechanical description (our LDT framework) yields the observed SM parameter structure through a robust mechanism.

6 Implications and Open Problems

6.1 The Key Calculation

The most important open problem the synthesis poses is explicit. One must:

(i) Compute the generalized dimensions $D_q(a)$ of the invariant measure of Beck's coupled Tchebyscheff lattices for each of the six chaotic string theories, as a function of the coupling a;

(ii) Perform the Legendre transforms to obtain the singularity spectrum $f(\alpha; a)$ and the rate function $I(\alpha; a)$;

(iii) Identify the minima of $I(\alpha; a)$ as functions of a, and compare the resulting mass predictions—via Eq. (7)—to the SM spectrum;

(iv) Check whether the coupling values a^* at which the minima of $I(\alpha; a)$ coincide with SM parameter values are the same values at which Beck's vacuum energies $V(a)$ and $W(a)$ are extremized.

If step (iv) is confirmed, the two frameworks are provably equivalent at the level of their empirical predictions. This is a technically demanding but well-defined numerical and analytical program.

6.2 Non-Extensive Statistical Mechanics

Both Beck and us invoke non-extensive statistical mechanics: Beck through the Tsallis distribution that arises as the invariant density of free Tchebyscheff maps, and us through the Rényi entropy that governs the multifractal spectrum [6]. This is not a coincidence: Tsallis and Rényi entropies are related by a monotonic transformation and both characterize the same class of multifractal probability distributions. The q-index Beck identifies ($q = -1$ or $q = 3$) corresponds to the Rényi index at which our partition function has its special normalizability property ($q = 1/2$ in our conventions, noting that the two sides of this correspondence use different sign conventions for the Tsallis q-index). Reconciling these conventions and identifying the precise relationship between Beck's q values and our

$q = 1/2$ saturation condition is a tractable algebraic exercise that would strengthen the connection considerably.

6.3 The Neutrino Sector

Beck's framework yields concrete neutrino mass predictions:

$$m_{\nu_1} \approx 1.45 \times 10^{-5}\,\text{eV}, \quad m_{\nu_2} \approx 2.57 \times 10^{-3}\,\text{eV}, \quad m_{\nu_3} \approx 4.92 \times 10^{-2}\,\text{eV}. \tag{12}$$

Our LDT framework has not yet been extended to the neutrino sector. The synthesis predicts that these Beck values emerge as minima of the rate function for the relevant chaotic string sectors, and the LDT formalism would then provide the PMNS mixing matrix via the same saddle-overlap mechanism used for the CKM matrix. This constitutes a concrete prediction of the combined framework that can in principle be tested against future neutrino mass measurements and oscillation data.

6.4 The Higgs Self-Coupling and the Hierarchy Problem

Our observation that the Higgs mass is selected by the bifurcation mechanism ($v = 2m_H$ at the first bifurcation vertex) rather than by an ultraviolet boundary condition offers a potential reinterpretation of the hierarchy problem. In Beck's picture, the Higgs mass is fixed by the chaotic string dynamics long before the conventional electroweak symmetry-breaking scale becomes relevant—it is determined in the pre-Planck epoch as a property of the string vacuum, not by a fine-tuning of the SM Higgs potential. These two perspectives are consistent and together suggest that the apparent fine-tuning of the Higgs mass is an artifact of the SM's inability to see the underlying chaotic dynamics that naturally selects the electroweak scale.

6.5 Supersymmetry and the Absence of New Physics

Both frameworks independently suggest caution about supersymmetric extensions of the SM. Beck notes that his chaotic string spectrum shows no minima in the 100–1000 GeV range relevant to conventional SUSY partners—the vacuum energy structure simply does not prefer those mass scales. Our electroweak corrections are consistent with the observed precision electroweak data without invoking any beyond-SM degrees of freedom. The combined framework thus predicts that LHC searches for SUSY particles in the TeV range will continue to find nothing—a prediction consistent with experimental results to date and one that distinguishes the chaotic dynamics program from conventional approaches.

6.6 Mathematical Status and Rigor

A legitimate concern about both frameworks is their current mathematical status. Beck's results are largely numerical, with analytical control only in the $a = 0$ limit. The extension to finite a, where the vacuum energy structure acquires its nontrivial dependence on SM parameters, relies on large-lattice numerical simulations whose convergence properties require careful analysis. Our LDT framework is mathematically more controlled—large deviation theory is a mature field with rigorous foundations [8]—but the connection between the abstract rate function and specific SM parameters currently depends on fitting the Feigenbaum constants and a quark correction coefficient to experimental data, rather than deriving them from first principles.

The synthesis we propose offers a path toward improving both. Beck's microscopic model provides the first-principles derivation of the rate function shape, removing the need for our phenomenological fits. Our LDT framework provides the analytical framework needed to understand why Beck's numerical minima fall where they do, rather than treating them as purely empirical coincidences.

7 Conclusions

We have argued that Beck's chaotic string formalism and our Large Deviation Theory framework, developed independently and two decades apart, are complementary rather than competing descriptions of Standard Model parameter emergence. The structural parallels between the two frameworks—shared minimization principles, common mathematical objects (multifractal measures, Rényi/Tsallis entropies, Feigenbaum universality), parallel sector decompositions, and compatible cosmological embeddings—are too systematic to be accidental.

The central claim is that Beck's coupled Tchebyscheff map lattices provide the microscopic dynamical model whose invariant measure relates to the multifractal attractor of our LDT framework. Establishing this connection rigorously requires computing the multifractal spectrum of the chaotic string invariant measure as a function of the coupling a and verifying that the resulting rate function has minima at the empirically correct SM parameter values. This is a well-defined research program.

If successful, the synthesis would achieve something that neither framework achieves alone: a complete theory of SM parameters with both a concrete microscopic foundation (Beck's coupled map lattices, derivable from continuum field theories in the strong self-interaction limit) and a rigorous macroscopic principle (our LDT rate-function geometry, derived from the entropy flow and its multifractal attractor at the electroweak scale). The free parameters of the Standard Model would then be understood not as arbitrary inputs but as the fixed-point structure of chaotic dynamics operating sufficiently far above the SM scale.

Whether this program ultimately succeeds or fails, it represents a genuinely novel and mathematically grounded approach to one of the deepest unsolved problems in theoretical physics. The convergence of two independent research programs on the same mathematical structures and the same physical conclusions is a signal that deserves serious attention.

References

[1] C. Beck, *Spatio-temporal Chaos and Vacuum Fluctuations of Quantized Fields*, World Scientific, London (2002); arXiv:hep-th/0207081 (2002).

[2] E. Goldfain, "On Complex Dynamics and Standard Model Parameters," GIRES preprint, June 2026.

[3] K. Kaneko, "Period-doubling of kink-antikink patterns, quasiperiodicity in antiferro-like structures and spatial intermittency in coupled logistic lattice," *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **72**, 480 (1984).

[4] C. Beck, "Higher order correlation functions of chaotic maps: a graph theoretical approach," *Nonlinearity* **4**, 1131 (1991).

[5] G. Parisi and Y.-S. Wu, "Perturbation theory without gauge fixing," *Sci. Sin.* **24**, 483 (1981).

[6] C. Tsallis, "Possible generalization of Boltzmann–Gibbs statistics," *J. Stat. Phys.* **52**, 479 (1988).

[7] M. J. Feigenbaum, "Quantitative universality for a class of nonlinear transformations," *J. Stat. Phys.* **19**, 25 (1978).

[8] H. Touchette, "The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics," *Phys. Rep.* **478**, 1 (2009).

[9] J.-P. Eckmann and D. Ruelle, "Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attractors," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **57**, 617 (1985).

[10] T. C. Halsey, M. H. Jensen, L. P. Kadanoff, I. Procaccia, and B. I. Shraiman, "Fractal measures and their singularities: the characterization of strange sets," *Phys. Rev. A* **33**, 1141 (1986).

[11] C. Beck and F. Schlögl, *Thermodynamics of Chaotic Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).

[12] M. E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, "Estimation of oblique electroweak corrections," *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 381 (1992).

[13] R. Gatto, G. Sartori, and M. Tonin, "Weak Hamiltonian and mass formulae," *Phys. Lett. B* **28**, 128 (1968).

[14] S. Navas *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Review of Particle Physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 030001 (2024).

[15] C. Beck, "Chaotic strings and standard model parameters," *Physica D* **171**, 72 (2002); arXiv:hep-th/0105152.

[16] A. Hilgers and C. Beck, "Approach to Gaussian stochastic behavior for systems driven by deterministic chaotic forces," *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 5385 (1999).

[17] C. Beck, "Dynamical foundations of nonextensive statistical mechanics," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 180601 (2001).

[18] M. V. Berry, "Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes," *Proc. R. Soc. A* **392**, 45 (1984).

[19] C. Jarlskog, "Commutator of the quark mass matrices in the standard electroweak model and a measure of maximal CP nonconservation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 1039 (1985).